#### **Public Document Pack**

# Scrutiny for Policies, Children and Families Committee Wednesday 4 March 2020 1.00 pm Taunton Library Meeting Room, Paul Street, Taunton, TA1 3XZ



#### SUPPLEMENT TO THE AGENDA

To: The Members of the Scrutiny for Policies, Children and Families Committee

We are now able to enclose the following information which was unavailable when the agenda was published:

Item 7	Safe routes to school (Pages 3 - 12) To receive a presentation.

Published on 05 March 2020

Democratic Service Team, County Hall, Taunton



# rutiny for Policies, Children and Families Committee

March 2020

fe Routes to School: Eligibility for free home to school in sport due to road dangers. Should we be considering this in the light of the climate emergency?

ce O'Dowd-Jones: Strategic Commissioning Manager Highways and Transport

liam Rochford: Access, Admissions and Entitlements

## *r*erview

Assessment Criteria
Impact – current numbers
Conclusion of previous work
Summary of remaining challenges
Climate emergency and de-carbonisation

# hool Transport – Road Dangers

# licy

tion 508B of The Act places a duty on Local Authorities in England relating to home to school rel arrangements for 'eligible' children which are defined under schedule 35B of The Act 1996

- Children who attend schools beyond the statutory walking distance
- Chilgren whose route to school is deemed to be unsafe
- Children from low income families
- Children with special educational needs or disability (SEND)

ere children live within statutory walking distance of their transport area or nearest qualifying bold the Local Authority has a duty to provide travel assistance where the nature of the ilable walking route is such that a child cannot reasonably be expected to walk (accompanied secessary) in reasonable safety.

Courts have defined an "available route" as one "along which a child, accompanied as essary, can walk to school with reasonable safety. It does not fail to qualify as 'available' ause of dangers which would arise if the child is unaccompanied". The route measured may ude footpaths, bridleways and other pathways, as well as recognised roads.

# licy

king routes are assessed against the following criteria:

- hat the child will be accompanied
- Road width, visibility and the severity of bends
- existence of 'safe refuge' this means footpaths and verges, road markings at the side of the
- oad
- The yolume of traffic at the relevant time of day
- heਊtype of traffic and its speed
- Difficulty of road crossings
- Nature of road (urban or rural) and driver expectation
- The presence or absence of speed limits and other warning signs
- The accident record along the route
- inge of factors are taken into account.
- fact that there is a high volume of fast-moving traffic is not in itself a reason to assess a
- te as unsuitable there may be a footpath and good crossing points along the route that
- ıld mean it is safe.
- ilarly, the lack of a footpath or verge would not be a reason to assess a route as unsuitable if re was, for instance, a very low volume of traffic and good visibility.

## pact

omerset, we currently have 1,224 children with entitlement to school transport due to Road ger.

se children attend 75 different schools.

ools with in excess of 50 pupils with a Road Danger entitlement are:

Nacham School, Crewkerne Stanchester Academy, Stoke sub Hamdon Robert Blake, Bridgwater Maiden Beech Academy, Crewkerne Huish Episcopi Academy, Huish Episcopi Holyrood Academy, Chard All Saints, Montacute

ed on an average cost of transporting a child to a mainstream school of £1,123, the total ual revenue impact of Road Danger entitlement is £1.374m.

### evious Work

- Transport Service Review "Road Danger Points" outline business case Feb 2013.
- .90 "Danger Points" creating additional entitlement to free home to school transport for 1200 pupils (approx. 10% of those entitled).
- Reviewed 13 danger points affecting 11 routes, 400 pupils (£184k PA additional cost)
- Considered engineering solutions, policy changes to allow use of footpaths & bridleways,
- eviewing historic designations in the light of new conditions.
- High level engineering feasibility report on each of the locations. Found potential to reduce or empore entitlement on 9 of the 11 routes. (est. £128k saving for circa £430k capital)

#### Schools considered:

- Upton Noble CofE VC Primary
- Charlton Mackrell CofE Primary
- All Saints CofE VA Primary School, Montacute and Stanchester
- Maiden Beech Academy & Wadham
- Hugh Sexey CofE Middle School & Wedmore First
- St Vigor & St John CofE Primary
- Holyrood Academy
- Chewton Mendip CofE VA primary
- All Saints First/ Dulverton Middle

# gineering Pilot Projects 2014

## Holyrood Academy.

- Route C150B. 40 road danger pupils in 2013 (20 in 2020).
- Danger due to need to cross on bend with poor visibility on A358
- south of Chard/ Tatworth. Extend footway on west side to inside
- 30mph limit to give safe opportunity to cross.
- Sawing would be to reduce vehicle size and route change.
- Estîmated annual savings £4.2k. Initial estimated cost £9k.
- Detailed engineering feasibility report Nov 2014 considered 2 options
- costed at £180k to £280k. Need for ground investigation and likely
- ground stabilisation.

# gineering Pilot Projects 2015

## Maiden Beech Academy.

- Route C107. 34 road danger pupils in 2013 (30 in 2020).
- Danger due to need to cross on bend (Pye corner, Merriott) with poor
- isibility. 60m footway needed on east side to join with existing
- ootway.
- Sawing would be to reduce vehicle and route change.
- Estimated annual savings £11.6k. Initial estimated cost £32k.
- Further engineering feasibility assessment concluded carriageway
- realignment, land acquisition and retaining wall needed and raised
- concerns about speed so suggested traffic calming also needed.
- Significant cost increase likely Queried likely usage given the route
- would be an hour's round trip on foot for parent.

# y Issues for consideration

- Rural nature of routes and footway provision in rural areas coupled with high speed traffic results in a continuing high number of pupils eligible for free home to school transport due to a road danger. Not much change between 2013 and 2020.
- Engineering solutions are very challenging land acquisition and gradund conditions. Cost prohibitive in terms of a payback from savings in transport costs. If scheme not feasible then any capital costs revert to a revenue pressure.
- Not certain that if eligibility for free home to school transport was emoved that parents would walk the 3 miles to school and back. Removing the road danger may simply transfer most people from bus to car.
- Not likely to be a strategic solution to the problem through engineering solutions, however there may be case-by-case apportunities from local knowledge.

## mate emergency and decarbonisation

Climate change transport workshop 2019: Where best to focus efforts:

## -ow impact:

Removing short car trips (removing 80% of trips in Somerset < 2km by 2030 only saves 1.4% of 'do-nothing' carbon).

# Medium Impact:

ncreasing vehicle occupancy Freight efficiency Car free developments Reducing demand for travel

# High Impact:

Private vehicle electrification Zero emission freight